Dispersion effects on thermal convection in porous media

By ODDMUND KVERNVOLD[†] AND PEDER A. TYVAND

Department of Mechanics, University of Oslo, Norway

(Received 10 July 1979 and in revised form 21 February 1980)

The influence of hydrodynamic dispersion on thermal convection in porous media is studied theoretically. The fluid-saturated porous layer is homogeneous, isotropic and bounded by two infinite horizontal planes kept at constant temperatures. The supercritical, steady two-dimensional motion, the heat transport and the stability of the motion are investigated. The dispersion effects depend strongly on the Rayleigh number and on the ratio of grain diameter to layer depth. The present results provide new and closer approximations to experimental data of the heat transport.

1. Introduction

The subject of this paper is buoyancy-driven convection in a fluid-saturated porous medium. Horton & Rogers (1945) showed theoretically that convection currents are possible in a porous layer heated from below. Since then, much theoretical and experimental research has been reported in this field. We refer to Combarnous & Bories (1975) who outlined important geophysical and technical applications.

A porous medium is described in terms of an average continuum representation (Bear 1972). Local deviations from the average velocity and pressure are significant, and are accounted for, implicitly, in the macroscopic concept of permeability. Local temperature and velocity deviations give rise to the macroscopic concept of hydrodynamic dispersion. The influence of heat dispersion on porous convection is investigated in this paper. Hydrodynamic dispersion is always present in the macroscopic description of a diffusion process taking place in a fluid flow through a porous medium. Its magnitude relative to the molecular diffusion is an increasing function of the Péclet number.

The theory of hydrodynamic dispersion was initiated by Taylor (1953) and reached an advanced level through the works by Saffman (1959, 1960). Saffman's theory is complemented by Bear (1969) who used a different approach. The short, but valuable, contribution by Poreh (1965) is worth mentioning.

Hydrodynamic dispersion has usually been connected with spreading of solutes and miscible displacement (Fried & Combarnous 1971). This is due to the important applications in oil production (Pfannkuch 1963) and groundwater pollution (Fried 1975).

Hydrodynamic dispersion may also be important in connexion with buoyancydriven convection in porous media. The onset of convection when a basic flow is present has been analysed by Rubin (1974), Weber (1975) and Tyvand (1977). When the Péclet number is large, dispersion causes a strong delay of the onset of convection.

[†] Present address: Det norske Veritas, 1322 Høvik, Norway. 0022-1120/80/4540-1810 \$02.00 © 1980 Cambridge University Press

In finite-amplitude convection without basic flow the Péclet number may become large enough for the heat dispersion to be important, even in the moderately supercritical regime of stable rolls. This is the case when the medium is relatively coarse, the ratio of layer depth to grain diameter being small. This has been pointed out in the nonlinear analysis by Neischloss & Dagan (1975). They solved the stationary problem to sixth order in the series expansion proposed by Kuo (1961). In the present paper this stationary problem is solved numerically. The stability of the motion is also investigated. Our main results for the heat transport sharply contradict the corresponding results by Neischloss & Dagan (1975). There is agreement at slightly supercritical Rayleigh numbers only.

Straus (1974) and Kvernvold (1975) have performed similar analyses with neglect of dispersion. The present results provide improved approximations to experimental data of convective heat transfer through a horizontal porous layer heated from below.

2. Formulation of the mathematical problem

A fluid-saturated porous layer between two infinite horizontal planes is considered. The boundaries are impermeable and perfectly heat-conducting. The planes are separated by a distance h and have constant temperatures T_0 and $T_0 - \Delta T$, the lower plane being the warmer. The saturated porous medium is homogeneous and isotropic. It has permeability K and molecular thermal diffusivity κ_m .

We choose

$$h, \quad (c_p \rho)_m h^2 / \lambda_m, \quad \kappa_m / h, \quad \Delta T, \quad \rho_0 \nu \kappa_m / K \tag{2.1}$$

as units of length, time t, velocity $\mathbf{v} = (u, v, w)$, temperature T and pressure p, respectively. Here c_p is the specific heat at constant pressure, ρ the density, ρ_0 a standard density, λ_m the thermal conductivity of the saturated medium and ν the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. Subscript m refers to the mixture of solid and fluid. Katto & Masuoka (1967) emphasized that the proper value of κ_m is given by $\lambda_m/(c_p\rho)_f$.

According to Bear (1972, pp. 647, 652), the dimensionless equations may be written

$$\mathbf{v} + \nabla p - RT\mathbf{k} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0},\tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla T = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla T).$$
(2.4)

Here Darcy's law and the Boussinesq approximation have been applied, and the density is assumed to be a linear function of the temperature. \mathbf{k} is a unit vector directed opposite gravity; R is the Rayleigh number

$$R = \frac{Kg\gamma\Delta Th}{\kappa_m\nu}.$$
(2.5)

Here g is the gravitational acceleration and γ the coefficient of thermal volume expansion. In equation (2.4) **D** is the dimensionless dispersion tensor.

Generally the dispersion tensor may be written as

$$\mathbf{D} = (1 + \epsilon_2 \mathbf{v}^2) \,\mathbf{I} + (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \,\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v},\tag{2.6}$$

674

where I is the unit tensor. This tensor form has been derived by Poreh (1965) and, in a different way, by Bear (1969). In the above ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are coefficients of longitudinal and lateral dispersion, respectively, relative to the flow direction (Weber 1975).

The Péclet number is defined as

$$P = Ud/\kappa_t, \tag{2.7}$$

where U is a characteristic, dimensional fluid velocity, κ_f the thermal diffusivity of the fluid and d is a characteristic pore length. The porous medium is assumed to be composed of grains. Then d is taken equal to the average grain diameter. It is reasonable to regard P as a field variable. From (2.1) and (2.7) we then find

$$P = |\mathbf{v}| \frac{\kappa_m}{\kappa_f} \frac{d}{h}.$$
 (2.8)

Generally, the coefficients ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are functions of the Péclet number. By the theory of Poreh (1965), ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are constants (i.e. dispersion quadratic in P) when $P \ll 1$, but proportional to P^{-1} (i.e. dispersion linear in P) when $P \gg 1$. In between these limit cases there is a zone of transition from the 'quadratic law' to the 'linear law' for dispersion. The data presented by Bear (1972, p. 607) and Fried & Combarnous (1971) suggest this transition zone to be located between $P \sim 5$ and $P \sim 50$. The quadratic law is therefore hoped to give a reasonable approximation up to, say, $P \sim 10$. Accordingly, we will take ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 as constants in our analysis.

Saffman (1960) has determined the dispersion coefficients ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 theoretically. In the limit of small Péclet numbers his results reduce to

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{D}{15}, \quad \epsilon_2 = \frac{D}{40}.$$
 (2.9)

Here D is defined by

$$D = \frac{\kappa_m}{\kappa_f} \frac{d^2}{h^2}; \qquad (2.10)$$

D is a dimensionless number, which will be termed 'the dispersion factor'. We will apply formulae (2.9) when *P* is not above the order of 10. Principally a comparison with Saffman's full expressions for ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 should be done. It is omitted because certain defects arise in his model when the Péclet number increases. His assumption of perfect mixing in each pore joint is a typical example. In addition, his full expression for ϵ_1 is unable to produce the linear law of dispersion when $P \ge 1$. This linear law follows immediately from dimensional analysis (Poreh 1965; Bear 1972, p. 606). At small Péclet numbers the theories of Saffman and Poreh agree.

Existing measurements cannot be regarded as acid tests on Saffman's formulae (2.9). This is due to experimental difficulties. Mechanical dispersion is measured as the difference between the two quantities 'effective diffusion' and 'molecular diffusion'. In the relevant range of Péclet numbers (P < 10), the uncertainty in each of these quantities is highly comparable to the mechanical dispersion itself (see figures 31 and 33 by Fried & Combarnous 1971). Since no other reliable constant values of ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are available, we choose to base our study on Saffman's asymptotic values (2.9).

Saffman's analysis is only concerned with the case of a solid matrix being insulating with respect to the diffusive component. The formulae (2.9) will also be assumed valid in the case of a thermally conducting matrix. Theory is lacking at this point. However, O. Kvernvold and P. A. Tyvand

because the mechanical dispersion is basically a mixing phenomenon in the fluid phase, we believe that the dimensional mechanical dispersion is approximately independent of κ_m when κ_f is given. The fact that κ_m enters ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 (see (2.9) and (2.10)) is a mere consequence of our choice of non-dimensionalizing.

A Cartesian co-ordinate system is introduced in our model. The z axis is directed opposite gravity, and the x and y axes are located at the lower boundary. i, j and k denote unit vectors in x, y and z directions, respectively.

From (2.2) it follows that $\mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{v} = 0$, and the velocity field is solenoidal (2.3). Then the velocity is a poloidal vector

$$\mathbf{v} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{k}\psi) = \mathbf{i}\psi_{xz} + \mathbf{j}\psi_{yz} - \mathbf{k}\nabla_1^2\psi \equiv \mathbf{\delta}\psi \qquad (2.11)$$

given by a scalar function ψ .

676

The temperature field is written as

$$T = T_0 / \Delta T - z + \theta(x, y, z, t). \tag{2.12}$$

By eliminating the pressure from (2.2), we obtain

$$\theta = -R^{-1}\nabla^2 \psi. \tag{2.13}$$

By introducing (2.13) into (2.4) and utilizing (2.11), we obtain the governing equation:

$$\left(\nabla^{4} + R\nabla_{1}^{2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla^{2}\right)\psi = \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\nabla^{2}\psi$$

$$-2\epsilon_{2}R\mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial z} + (\epsilon_{1} - \epsilon_{2})R\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\nabla_{1}^{2}\psi$$

$$-\epsilon_{2}\mathbf{v}^{2}\nabla^{4}\psi - \epsilon_{2}\nabla\mathbf{v}^{2} \cdot \nabla\nabla^{2}\psi$$

$$-(\epsilon_{1} - \epsilon_{2})\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\nabla^{2}\psi). \qquad (2.14)$$

The requirements of perfectly heat-conducting and impermeable boundaries lead to the boundary conditions

$$\theta = w = 0$$
 at $z = 0, 1.$ (2.15)

Written in terms of ψ , this is equivalent to

$$\psi = \psi_{zz} = 0$$
 at $z = 0, 1.$ (2.16)

Dispersion enters our problem solely through nonlinear terms, see (2.14). Therefore dispersion does not influence the onset of convection, see Neischloss & Dagan (1975). The critical Rayleigh number for the onset of convection is

$$R_c = 4\pi^2, \qquad (2.17)$$

corresponding to half-cell width equal to layer depth, i.e. critical wavenumber $\alpha_c = \pi$. These results were first obtained by Horton & Rogers (1945).

3. Solution of the nonlinear equations

We are going to study the finite-amplitude motion occurring at moderately supercritical Rayleigh numbers. To obtain satisfactorily accurate solutions, it is necessary

	Truncation	$\mathbf{Nusselt\ number}$	
	$\left n\right + \frac{1}{2}(m+1) \leq 6$	6.78	
	$\left n\right + \frac{1}{2}(m+1) \leqslant 7$	6-81	
	$\left n\right + \frac{1}{4}(m+3) \leqslant 5$	6.83	
	$ n +m \leqslant 10$	6.75	
TABLE 1. Convergenc tru	Θ of numerical solution incations, $R/R_c = 10$, α	indicated by values of N from $2/\pi = 1.8$, $D = \frac{1}{160}$.	m different

to apply numerical methods. By means of Galerkin's procedure we will find a stationary solution (2.14) and examine the stability of this solution with respect to small disturbances.

It can be shown, along the lines of Schlüter, Lortz & Busse (1965) that only twodimensional rolls may be a stable solution of the stationary problem (2.14) for small supercritical Rayleigh numbers. This stationary two-dimensional solution subject to the boundary conditions (2.16) is formally written as an infinite series:

$$\psi = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A_{pq} e^{ip\alpha x} \sin q\pi z, \qquad (3.1)$$

where each term satisfies the boundary conditions. The symmetry of the problem implies the restriction

$$A_{pq} = A_{-pq}, \tag{3.2}$$

corresponding to convection cells without tilt.

The expression for ψ (3.1) is substituted into (2.14). The resulting equation is multiplied by $e^{-in\alpha x} \sin m\pi z$ and averaged over the whole fluid layer. An infinite set of algebraic equations for the unknown amplitudes A_{nm} is found:

$$G_{pqnm}A_{nm} + U_{pqnmrs}A_{nm}A_{rs} + V_{pqnmrskl}A_{nm}A_{rs}A_{kl} = 0.$$

$$(3.3)$$

The matrix **G** is a function of α and R. The matrices **U** and **V** are functions of α , R and D.

In order to solve the set (3.3) the series must be truncated. We choose to retain only terms with

$$|n| + (m+1)/2 \le M, \tag{3.4}$$

where M is a sufficiently large number, termed the truncation parameter. Owing to the symmetry of the equations (3.3) the solution contains only amplitudes with n+m even, giving $\frac{1}{2}M(M+1)$ equations to be solved.

With our choice of truncation computer capacity forbids us to make any careful examination with M larger than 6. This is due to the triple term in (3.3), represented by the matrix \mathbf{V} . To test the convergence, we have compared the solutions corresponding to M = 6 and M = 7. Two other truncations have also been tried. The results

are given in table 1. The physical quantity concentrated upon is the Nusselt number:

$$N = \frac{Qh}{\lambda_m \Delta T} = -\mathbf{k} \cdot \overline{(\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla T)}_{z=0};$$

= $[1 - \overline{\theta}_z + \epsilon_2 \overline{u^2} - \epsilon_2 \overline{u^2 \theta_z}]_{z=0};$ (3.5)

Q is the heat transport per unit time and area through the layer; the overbar denotes a horizontal average.

After having obtained a solution, ψ_s , of the stationary problem, the stability of this solution with respect to small disturbances is examined. By introducing $\psi = \psi_s + \psi'$ into (2.14) and linearizing with respect to the infinitesimal disturbances ψ' , the following equation is found:

$$\begin{split} \left(\nabla^{4} + R\nabla_{1}^{2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla^{2}\right)\psi' &= \mathbf{\delta}\psi' \cdot \nabla\nabla^{2}\psi_{s} + \mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s} \cdot \nabla\nabla^{2}\psi' \\ &- 2\epsilon_{2}R\left(\mathbf{\delta}\psi' \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s} + \mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\mathbf{\delta}\psi'\right) \\ &+ (\epsilon_{1} - \epsilon_{2})R(\mathbf{\delta}\psi' \cdot \nabla\nabla_{1}^{2}\psi_{s} + \mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s} \cdot \nabla\nabla_{1}^{2}\psi') \\ &- \epsilon_{2}(2\mathbf{\delta}\psi' \cdot \mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s}\nabla^{4}\psi_{s} + (\mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s})^{2}\nabla^{4}\psi') - \epsilon_{2}(2\nabla(\mathbf{\delta}\psi' \cdot \mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s}) \cdot \nabla\nabla^{2}\psi_{s} \\ &+ \nabla(\mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s})^{2} \cdot \nabla\nabla^{2}\psi') - (\epsilon_{1} - \epsilon_{2})[\mathbf{\delta}\psi' \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s} \cdot \nabla\nabla^{2}\psi_{s}) \\ &+ \mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{\delta}\psi' \cdot \nabla\nabla^{2}\psi_{s}) + \mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{\delta}\psi_{s} \cdot \nabla\nabla^{2}\psi')], \end{split}$$
(3.6)

with boundary conditions

$$\psi' = \psi'_{zz} = 0$$
 at $z = 0, 1.$ (3.7)

If there exists a solution of (3.6) with growing time dependence, the stationary solution is said to be unstable. Otherwise it is stable.

A general expression for the perturbation, ψ' , is given by

$$\psi' = \sum_{p,q} A_{pq} e^{ipax} e^{i(ax+by)+\sigma t} \sin q\pi z, \qquad (3.8)$$

where a and b are free parameters. The series (3.8) is introduced into the equation (3.6). The resulting equation is multiplied by $e^{-in\alpha x} e^{-i(\alpha x+by)-\sigma t} \sin m\pi z$ and averaged over the whole fluid layer. As in the stationary problem only terms with $|n| + \frac{1}{2}(m+1) \leq M$ are retained. The system of linear homogeneous equations constitute an eigenvalue problem for σ , giving

$$\sigma = \sigma(R, \alpha, D, a, b). \tag{3.9}$$

The most unstable disturbances correspond to a = 0 and $b \neq 0$ as in ordinary porous convection, see Straus (1974) and Kvernvold (1975). These disturbances are termed cross-rolls if b is of the same order of magnitude as α , and zigzags if b is very small.

4. Discussion of solutions

In this chapter some characteristic features of the numerical solutions will be discussed. We first concentrate on the two-dimensional stationary problem.

The heat transport is a quantity of major physical interest. It is given by the

 $\mathbf{678}$

		D				
	R/R_{c}	0	. <u>1</u> 600	- <u>1</u> 150	<u>1</u> 9 <u>6</u>	 1 24
Analytical	$1 \cdot 2$	1.400	1.399	1.394	1.391	1.364
Numerical	$1 \cdot 2$	1.352	1.351	1.349	1.347	1.333
	1.4	1.634	1.633	1.631	1.629	1.613
	1.6	1.870	1.870	1.869	1.869	1.860
	1.8	2.072	2.074	2.076	2.079	2.086
	TABLE	2. Analytical (for the Nus	formula (4.1)) sselt number w	and numerical hen $\alpha = \pi$.	results	

Nusselt number defined by (3.5). In addition to the ordinary diffusion term $(-\overline{\theta}_z)_{z=0}$, which contains dispersion implicitly, there are two new terms with explicit dispersion dependence. These are a term of second degree, $(\epsilon_2 \overline{u^2})_{z=0}$, and one of third degree $(-\epsilon_2 \overline{u^2 \theta_z})_{z=0}$. The sum of these two terms has to be positive.

The calculations show that dispersion always reduces the average temperature gradient at the boundary. Up to $R/R_c = 1.65$ the Nusselt number is also reduced, compared with ordinary porous convection. In table 2 some values of N at small supercritical Rayleigh numbers are given. The analytical result is given by the first approximation in nonlinear theory:

$$N = 1 + 2(R/R_c - 1) \left[1 + 2\pi^2(-2\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)\right], \tag{4.1}$$

which was first derived by Neischloss & Dagan (1975). It only gives the slopes at which the Nusselt number curves start out. Due to the strong curvature of these curves (see figure 1), formula (4.1) is not a good approximation. However, it agrees qualitatively with the numerical results for small supercritical Rayleigh numbers. The heat transport is increased by dispersion when $R > 1.65R_c$. At first this increase is very small, but it grows rapidly with the Rayleigh number. From four or five times the critical Rayleigh number, the dispersion effects on the heat transport become important. See figure 1, where N is displayed as a function of R/R_c for different values of D. Here the Nusselt number has been maximized with respect to the wavenumber. If D is not too small, the Nusselt number curve possesses an inflexion point.

The strong increase in the Nusselt number at large Rayleigh numbers primarily arises from the third-degree term. This is shown in table 3, where the contributions to the Nusselt number from the first-, second- and third-degree terms are listed for some cases. Some approximations for these terms are also listed, disclosing the importance of solving the full problem. It is indicated that the basic contribution to the third-degree term is expressed by $(-\epsilon_2 \overline{u^2} \overline{\theta_z})_{z=0}$.

Except for small supercritical Rayleigh numbers, the explicit ϵ_2 -dependence provides the most important dispersion effect on the Nusselt number (see table 3). However, it is difficult to discuss the implicit ϵ_1 - and ϵ_2 -dependence in the problem because of the complex nonlinear interactions.

The above-mentioned results contradict most of the analytical results of Neischloss & Dagan (1975), who found that dispersion reduces the heat transport for all examined Rayleigh numbers.

In figure 1, we choose the wavenumber which gives maximum Nusselt number. The variation of the Nusselt number with the wavenumber is exhibited in figure 2,



FIGURE 1. N versus R/R_c for some values of $D = (\kappa_m/\kappa_f) (d/h)^2$.

R/R_{o}	3	6	6	6
α/π	1	1	1	2
D	1/60	1/60	1/100	1/100
$1-(\overline{\theta}_z)_{z=0}$	2.775	3.588	3.810	4.099
$\epsilon_2(\overline{u^2})_{s=0}$	0.122	0.522	0.292	0.179
$-\epsilon_2(\overline{u^2\theta_s})_{s=0}$	0.203	1.215	0.739	0.520
N	3.100	5.325	4.841	4 ·798
$N^{(D=0)}$	2.927	4.070	4.070	4.435
$\epsilon_{2}[\overline{u^{2}}^{(D=0)}]_{s=0}$	0.122	0.406	0.243	0.165
$-\epsilon_2[\overline{u^2\theta_s}^{(D=0)}]_{z=0}$	0.218	1.147	0.689	0.536
$\epsilon_2(\overline{u^2}\overline{\theta_z})_{z=0}$	0.216	1.351	0.820	0.555

for the case D = 1/80. Curves for R/R_c equal to 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 are shown. For comparison the corresponding curves for D = 0 are also displayed (dashed curves). Dispersion turns out to reduce the wavenumber of maximum heat transport considerably.

In figure 3 some dispersion effects on the temperature field are shown. The isotherms may be noticeably distorted. The solid curves are isotherms for the case D = 1/150, while the dashed curves are corresponding isotherms for D = 0. The effects increase strongly with increasing Rayleigh numbers. It is interesting to study the vicinity of the lower boundary: In the region of ascending fluid the temperature gradient is reduced by dispersion. In most of the region of descending fluid the gradient is steepened. The former effect is always the stronger, so that the average temperature gradient is reduced due to dispersion.



FIGURE 2. N versus α/π for some values of R/R_c . ---, D = 1/80. ---, D = 0.



FIGURE 3. Isotherms for $T - T_0/\Delta T$, D = 1/150. ---, D = 0. (a) $R/R_c = 4$; (b) $R/R_c = 6$.



FIGURE 4. Stability domains for the steady two-dimensional motion in the α/α_c , R/R_c plane. ——, cross-roll instability; — x —, zigzag instability.

The streamline pattern is never distorted significantly by dispersion in our range of computation. The velocity amplitude however, is influenced by dispersion. Following Palm, Weber & Kvernvold (1972), the root mean square velocity of a cell is approximately given by

$$(\overline{\langle \mathbf{v}^2 \rangle})^{\frac{1}{2}} = R^{\frac{1}{2}}(N-1)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{4.2}$$

where the pointed bracket denotes vertical average. By means of (2.8), this enables us to estimate the average Péclet number defined by

$$P_{\rm av} = (\overline{\langle \mathbf{v}^2 \rangle})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\kappa_m}{\kappa_f} \frac{d}{h} = R^{\frac{1}{2}} (N-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\kappa_m}{\kappa_f} \frac{d}{h}.$$
(4.3)

Deviations from our theory become significant when P_{av} is about 10. We notice that P_{av} cannot be expressed by D alone, so that formula (4.3) must be applied to each specific case.

We have investigated the stability of this steady nonlinear roll solution in the case of D = 1/150. The results are displayed in figure 4, and compared with the case of D = 0. The zigzag instability is not significantly influenced by dispersion. The rest of the stability domain is bounded by cross-roll disturbances, which may be strongly influenced by dispersion.

At moderately supercritical Rayleigh numbers $(R < 5R_c)$, dispersion slightly reduces the range of stable wavenumbers. However, at larger Rayleigh number a strong extension of the stability domain is present. The second critical Rayleigh number, above which no stable steady solution exists, is drastically delayed. Actually, our choice D = 1/150 is not small enough to determine this upper limit, within our range of computation.

5. Comparison with experiments

In this chapter the results from the analysis above will be compared with some experimental data of the heat transport. In figure 5 some data from Buretta (1972) are shown. This experimental series was performed with glass beads saturated by water, where $\kappa_m/\kappa_f = 3.75$ and d/h = 1/15. The corresponding value of the dispersion factor is 1/60. Our theoretical curve for D = 1/60 shows excellent agreement with Buretta's experiments. For comparison the theoretical curve for neglect of dispersion is displayed. This clearly demonstrates the importance of dispersion.

From formula (4.3) the average Péclet number at the termination of our theoretical curve in figure 5 is about 15. Then our theory may be inappropriate. Only up to R about 200-300 the conditions of our theory are fulfilled. The average Reynolds number, as defined in Palm *et al.* (1972, equation (5.11)), does not exceed 2.

In figure 6 two sets of experimental data by Combarnous (1970) are compared with the present theory. Also these experiments are performed with glass beads in water. It is interesting that the theoretical trend of increased heat transport for increased coarseness of the porous medium is actually confirmed by these experiments. The upper and lower theoretical curve corresponds to D = 1/60 and D = 1/107, respectively. We have chosen to terminate these curves at Péclet numbers of 15 and 10, respectively, and Reynolds numbers about 2.

The experimental data of figures 5 and 6 indicate an inflexion point in the Nusselt number curves. This phenomenon was explained by Combarnous (1970) by the occurrence of a new linearly unstable mode. The present theory gives an alternative explanation which seems very good. One must, however, keep in mind that these effects become important at Péclet numbers not far below the value at which our approximations become uncertain.

There are many other experiments confirming the trend of our theory giving a Nusselt number above the value of ordinary porous convection. See the review article by Cheng (1978). It is, however, desirable to perform experiments with a systematic variation of the dispersion factor, D. Most experiments involve a ratio d/h not small enough for dispersion effects to be neglected. Experiments on more finely grained media are wanted. They are hoped to give a closer approximation to the theory of ordinary porous convection (Straus 1974).

When the pore Reynolds number exceeds unity, the flow resistance is higher than predicted by Darcy's linear law, due to a nonlinear friction term (see Bear 1972, p. 126).



FIGURE 5. N versus R. —, theoretical curves; •, experiments by Buretta (1972).



FIGURE 6. N versus R. —, theoretical curves: upper curve, D = 1/60; lower curve, D = 1/107. •, experiments by Combarnous (1970), with d/h = 1/15, $\kappa_m/\kappa_f = 3.75$. +, experiments by Combarnous (1970), with d/h = 1/20, $\kappa_m/\kappa_f = 3.75$.

In this regime the velocity amplitude is smaller than predicted by our theory. This will cause a considerable reduction in the heat transport, which is observed in the experiments by Schneider (1963) and Elder (1967). Heat dispersion will be strongly reduced, as it is a quadratic function of velocity.

The importance of dispersion compared with the nonlinear term in Darcy's law is indicated by the Prandtl number of the fluid

$$Pr = \nu/\kappa_f. \tag{5.1}$$

In the present study we have assumed that dispersion is more important than the nonlinear term in Darcy's law, which means that Pr > 1.

6. Summary

The influence of hydrodynamic dispersion on thermal convection in a porous layer has been investigated theoretically. The steady, supercritical motion, the heat transport and the stability of the motion have been examined.

The magnitude of the dispersion effects is characterized by the dispersion factor $D = (\kappa_m/\kappa_f) (d/h)^2$, depending strongly on the coarseness of the porous material. Hydrodynamic dispersion slightly reduces the heat transport when $R < 1.65R_c$, but increases it with rapidly growing strength when the Rayleigh number increases further. Hydrodynamic dispersion strongly extends the range of Rayleigh numbers giving stable convection rolls.

The present theory should be useful at average Péclet numbers smaller than 10 and average Reynolds numbers not above the order of 1. Our theoretical predictions of the heat transport show good agreement with experimental data by Buretta (1972) and Combarnous (1970). This accordance lends support to the dispersion theory of Saffman (1960) on which this study is based.

REFERENCES

- BEAR, J. 1969 Hydrodynamic dispersion. In *Flow Through Porous Media* (ed. R. De Wiest), pp. 109–199. Academic.
- BEAR, J. 1972 Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media. Elsevier.
- BURETTA, R. 1972 Thermal convection in a fluid-filled porous layer with uniform internal heat sources. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Minnesota.
- CHENG, P. 1978 Heat transfer in geothermal systems. Adv. Heat Transfer 14, 1-105.
- COMBARNOUS, M. 1970 Convection naturelle et convection mixte en milieu poreux. Thèse Dr.Sc.Phys., Université de Paris.
- COMBARNOUS, M. A. & BORIES, S. A. 1975 Hydro-thermal convection in saturated porous media. Adv. Hydrosci. 10, 231-307.
- ELDER, J. W. 1967 Steady free convection in a porous medium heated from below. J. Fluid Mech. 27, 29-48.
- FRIED, J. J. 1975 Groundwater Pollution. Elsevier.
- FRIED, J. J. & COMBARNOUS, M. A. 1971 Dispersion in porous media. Adv. Hydrosci. 7, 169-282.
- HORTON, C. W. & ROGERS, F. T. 1945 Convection currents in a porous medium. J. Appl. Phys. 16, 367-370.
- KATTO, Y. & MASUOKA, T. 1967 Criterion for the onset of convective flow in a fluid in a porous medium. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 10, 297-309.
- KUO, H. L. 1961 Solution of the non-linear equations of cellular convection and heat transport. J. Fluid Mech. 10, 611-634.
- KVERNVOLD, O. 1975 Non-linear convection in a porous medium. Univ. Oslo Preprint Ser. no. 1.
- NEISCHLOSS, H. & DAGAN, G. 1975 Convective currents in a porous layer heated from below: the influence of hydrodynamic dispersion. *Phys. Fluids* 18, 757-761.
- PALM, E., WEBER, J. E. & KVERNVOLD, O. 1972 On steady convection in a porous medium. J. Fluid Mech. 54, 153-161.
- PFANNKUCH, H. O. 1963 Contribution à l'étude des déplacements dans un milieu poreux. Rev. Inst. Fr. Pétr. 18, 215-270.
- POREH, M. 1965 The dispersivity tensor in isotropic and axisymmetric mediums. J. Geophys. Res. 70, 3909-3913.

- RUBIN, H. 1974 Heat dispersion effect on thermal convection in a porous medium layer. J. Hydrol. 21, 173-185.
- SAFFMAN, P. G. 1959 A theory of dispersion in a porous medium. J. Fluid Mech. 6, 321-349.
- SAFFMAN, P. G. 1960 Dispersion due to molecular diffusion and macroscopic mixing in flow through a network of capillaries. J. Fluid Mech. 7, 194-208.
- SCHEÜTER, A., LORTZ, D. & BUSSE, F. 1965 On the stability of steady finite amplitude convection. J. Fluid Mech. 23, 129-144.
- SCHNEIDER, K. J. 1963 Investigation of the influence of free thermal convection on heat transfer through granular materials. 11th Int. Cong. of Refrigeration, Munich, paper no. 11-4.
- STRAUS, J. M. 1974 Large amplitude convection in porous media. J. Fluid Mech. 64, 51-63.
- TAYLOR, G. I. 1953 Dispersion of soluble matter in solvent flowing slowly through a tube. Proc. Roy. Soc. A 219, 186-203.
- TYVAND, P. A. 1977 Heat dispersion effect on thermal convection in anisotropic porous media. J. Hydrol. 34, 335-342.
- WEBER, J. E. 1975 Dispersion effect on buoyancy-driven convection in stratified flows through porous media. J. Hydrol. 25, 59-70.